

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,767	03/29/2006	Martin Hagg	E7900.2063/P2063	9921
24998 7590 06/25/2010 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1825 EYE STREET NW			EXAMINER	
			HAMO, PATRICK	
Washington, L	C 20006-5403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/573,767 HAGG ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit PATRICK HAMO 3746 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)\(\times\) Claim(s) 1-4.8.10.11.14-17.19-21.23.25.27.28.30.32 and 34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,8,10,11,14-17,19-21,23,25,27,28,30,32 and 34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3 May 2010.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Preview (PTO-948).

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3746

#### DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to amendments filed on March 17, 2010.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikl in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 8, 10, 11, 14-17, 19-21, 23, 25, 27, 28, 30, 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atkinson, US 4,635,621, in view of Rosenburgh. EP 0623842.

## In regard to claim 1:

Atkinson discloses a surgical fluid pump system for transporting a sterile fluid from a source 15 to a surgical instrument 27, the system comprising a drive system 53a, a pump system 51 comprising an inlet 19 for establishing a fluid connection the source and an outlet 23 for connection to the instrument, a pump 51a having a piston 179 that contacts the fluid having a suction cycle and an output cycle (see fig. 5), conduit 19, 23 and valve 174a, 176a devices for providing the fluid path, the pump releasably coupled to the motor at pin 61.

Atkinson does not disclose that the motor drives the system in such a way that a suction cycle is shorter than an output cycle and that fluid is supplied with substantially constant pressure. However, Rosenburgh teaches a processing apparatus for

Art Unit: 3746

photographic materials that includes a stepper motor 259 driving bellows pumps 275277, the stepper motor being operated at a variable rotational speed such that the time for filling of the bellows, or a suction cycle, is minimized and the time for discharge, or an output cycle, is maximized, providing a smooth constant pressure delivery of solution (col. 4, lines 4-11). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the motor of Atkinson with the stepper motor of Rosenburgh allowing for variable rate control to produce a smooth constant pressure delivery as the application of a known technique (variable rate control to produce constant pressure output) to a known device (the motor/pump system of Atkinson) to achieve the predictable result of providing a constant pressure fluid output.

# In regard to claim 2:

Atkinson discloses a surgical fluid pump system for transporting a sterile fluid from a source 15 to a surgical instrument 27, the system comprising a drive system 53a, a disposable pump system 51 comprising an inlet 19 for establishing a fluid connection the source and an outlet 23 for connection to the instrument, and having a piston 179 that contacts the fluid, the pump releasably coupled to the motor at pin 61. Atkinson does not disclose that the pump system comprises three pumps, each having a piston that contacts the fluid with input and output cycles, the drive system driving the system such that the suction and output cycles of the three pumps overlap one another.

However, Rosenburgh teaches a processing apparatus for photographic materials that includes a stepper motor 259 driving bellows pumps 275-277, the stepper motor being operated at a variable rotational speed such that the time for filling of the

bellows, or a suction cycle, is minimized and the time for discharge, or an output cycle, is maximized, providing a smooth constant pressure delivery of solution (col. 4, lines 4-11). In fig. 2, based on the different positions of each pump along the cam shaft 278, it is apparent that the suction and output cycles overlap. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the motor of Atkinson with the stepper motor of Rosenburgh allowing for variable rate control to produce a smooth constant pressure delivery as the application of a known technique (variable rate control to produce constant pressure output) to a known device (the motor/pump system of Atkinson) to achieve the predictable result of providing a constant pressure fluid output. Note that each bellows has separate inlets and outlets and that, in combination, it would have been obvious to use the piston of Atkinson for all three pumps as one of a finite number of pumping means taught with a reasonable expectation of success, and that the conduit 19, 23 and valve 174a, 176a devices for providing the fluid path of Atkinson in conjunction with the lines 247 and 249 of Rosenburgh would still be necessary for fluid transfer.

# In regard to claim 3:

Rosenburgh further teaches that the system comprises first 275 and second 276 pumps that are both operated to have longer suction cycles than output cycles. Because the total cycle time of both pumps is dependent on the same motor, it then stands to reason that the suction cycle of the first pump is shorter than the output cycle of the second pump and vice versa.

# In regard to claim 4:

Because of the staggered positions of the pumps of Rosenburgh (see fig. 2), the output cycles will have some overlap.

## In regard to claim 8:

The controllable rotary stepper motor 259 of Rosenburgh drives each of the pistons 279-281.

# In regard to claim 10:

Because of the staggered positions of the pumps of Rosenburgh (see fig. 2), the output cycles will have some overlap.

#### In regard to claim 11:

Rosenburgh's pump system provides substantially constant pressure, as discussed above.

#### In regard to claim 14:

Atkinson discloses a surgical fluid pump system for transporting a sterile fluid from a source 15 to a surgical instrument 27, the system comprising a drive system 53a, a disposable pump system 51 comprising an inlet 19 for establishing a fluid connection the source and an outlet 23 for connection to the instrument, and having a piston 179 that contacts the fluid, the pump releasably coupled to the motor at pin 61. Atkinson does not disclose that the pump system comprises first and second pumps, each having a piston that contacts the fluid with input and output cycles, the drive system driving the system such that the suction and output cycles of the three pumps overlap one another.

However, Rosenburgh teaches a processing apparatus for photographic materials that includes a stepper motor 259 driving bellows pumps 275-277, the stepper

Art Unit: 3746

motor being operated at a variable rotational speed such that the time for filling of the bellows, or a suction cycle, is minimized and the time for discharge, or an output cycle. is maximized, providing a smooth constant pressure delivery of solution (col. 4, lines 4-11). In fig. 2, based on the different positions of each pump along the cam shaft 278, it is apparent that the suction and output cycles overlap. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the motor of Atkinson with the stepper motor of Rosenburgh allowing for variable rate control to produce a smooth constant pressure delivery as the application of a known technique (variable rate control to produce constant pressure output) to a known device (the motor/pump system of Atkinson) to achieve the predictable result of providing a constant pressure fluid output. Note that each bellows has separate inlets and outlets and that, in combination, it would have been obvious to use the piston of Atkinson for first and second pumps as one of a finite number of pumping means taught with a reasonable expectation of success, and that the conduit 19, 23 and valve 174a, 176a devices for providing the fluid path of Atkinson in conjunction with the lines 247 and 249 of Rosenburgh would still be necessary for fluid transfer.

# In regard to claim 15:

Rosenburgh further teaches that the system comprises first 275 and second 276 pumps that are both operated to have longer suction cycles than output cycles. Because the total cycle time of both pumps is dependent on the same motor, it then stands to reason that the suction cycle of the first pump is shorter than the output cycle of the second pump and vice versa.

Art Unit: 3746

#### In regard to claim 16:

Rosenburgh's pump system provides substantially constant pressure, as discussed above.

#### In regard to claim 17:

The controllable rotary stepper motor 259 of Rosenburgh drives each of the pistons 279-281.

#### In regard to claim 19:

Atkinson discloses a surgical fluid pump system for transporting a sterile fluid from a source 15 to a surgical instrument 27, the system comprising a drive system 53a, a pump system 51 comprising an inlet 19 for establishing a fluid connection the source and an outlet 23 for connection to the instrument, a pump 51a having a piston 179 that contacts the fluid having a suction cycle and an output cycle (see fig. 5), conduit 19, 23 and valve 174a, 176a devices for providing the fluid path, the pump releasably coupled to the motor at pin 61. Atkinson does not disclose that the motor drives the system in such a way that a suction cycle is shorter than an output cycle.

However, Rosenburgh teaches a processing apparatus for photographic materials that includes a stepper motor 259 driving bellows pumps 275-277, the stepper motor being operated at a variable rotational speed such that the time for filling of the bellows, or a suction cycle, is minimized and the time for discharge, or an output cycle, is maximized, providing a smooth constant pressure delivery of solution (col. 4, lines 4-11). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the motor of Atkinson with the stepper motor of Rosenburgh allowing for

Art Unit: 3746

variable rate control to produce a smooth constant pressure delivery as the application of a known technique (variable rate control to produce constant pressure output) to a known device (the motor/pump system of Atkinson) to achieve the predictable result of providing a constant pressure fluid output.

#### In regard to claim 20:

Because of the staggered positions of the pumps of Rosenburgh (see fig. 2), the output cycles will have some overlap.

#### In regard to claim 21:

Rosenburgh's pump system provides substantially constant pressure, as discussed above.

## In regard to claim 23:

Atkinson discloses that the pump is a disposable unit.

#### In regard to claim 25:

The controllable rotary stepper motor 259 of Rosenburgh drives each of the pistons 279-281.

#### In regard to claim 27:

Atkinson discloses a surgical fluid pump system for transporting a sterile fluid from a source 15 to a surgical instrument 27, the system comprising a drive system 53a, a disposable pump system 51 comprising an inlet 19 for establishing a fluid connection the source and an outlet 23 for connection to the instrument, and having a piston 179 that contacts the fluid, the pump releasably coupled to the motor at pin 61. Atkinson does not disclose that the pump system comprises at least three pumps, each having a

piston that contacts the fluid with input and output cycles, the drive system driving the system such that the suction and output cycles of the three pumps overlap one another.

However, Rosenburgh teaches a processing apparatus for photographic materials that includes a stepper motor 259 driving bellows pumps 275-277, the stepper motor being operated at a variable rotational speed such that the time for filling of the bellows, or a suction cycle, is minimized and the time for discharge, or an output cycle, is maximized, providing a smooth constant pressure delivery of solution (col. 4, lines 4-11). In fig. 2, based on the different positions of each pump along the cam shaft 278, it is apparent that the suction and output cycles overlap. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the motor of Atkinson with the stepper motor of Rosenburgh allowing for variable rate control to produce a smooth constant pressure delivery as the application of a known technique (variable rate control to produce constant pressure output) to a known device (the motor/pump system of Atkinson) to achieve the predictable result of providing a constant pressure fluid output. Note that each bellows has separate inlets and outlets and that, in combination, it would have been obvious to use the piston of Atkinson for first and second pumps as one of a finite number of pumping means taught with a reasonable expectation of success, and that the conduit 19, 23 and valve 174a, 176a devices for providing the fluid path of Atkinson in conjunction with the lines 247 and 249 of Rosenburgh would still be necessary for fluid transfer.

In regard to claim 28:

Art Unit: 3746

Rosenburgh's pump system provides substantially constant pressure, as discussed above.

#### In regard to claim 30:

Atkinson discloses that the pump is a disposable unit.

#### In regard to claim 32:

The controllable rotary stepper motor 259 of Rosenburgh drives each of the pistons 279-281.

## In regard to claim 34:

Atkinson discloses a surgical fluid pump system for transporting a sterile fluid from a source 15 to a surgical instrument 27, the system comprising an inlet 19 for establishing a fluid connection the source and an outlet 23 for connection to the instrument, having a piston 179 that contacts the fluid and applies pressure to the fluid, conduit 19, 23 and valve devices 174a, 176a, providing a fluid path between the inlet, the pump, and the outlet, valve device 174a prohibiting an outflow of fluid at the inlet and 176a prohibiting an inflow at the outlet. Atkinson does not disclose that the pump system comprises a plurality of pumps, each having a piston that contacts the fluid, and a portion of the fluid path from an inlet to a pump is common to a portion of the fluid path from an outlet.

However, Rosenburgh teaches a processing apparatus for photographic materials that includes a stepper motor 259 driving bellows pumps 275-277, the stepper motor being operated at a variable rotational speed such that the time for filling of the bellows, or a suction cycle, is minimized and the time for discharge, or an output cycle.

is maximized, providing a smooth constant pressure delivery of solution (col. 4, lines 4-11). In fig. 2, based on the different positions of each pump along the cam shaft 278, it is apparent that the suction and output cycles overlap. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the motor of Atkinson with the stepper motor of Rosenburgh allowing for variable rate control to produce a smooth constant pressure delivery as the application of a known technique (variable rate control to produce constant pressure output) to a known device (the motor/pump system of Atkinson) to achieve the predictable result of providing a constant pressure fluid output. Note that each bellows has separate inlets and outlets and that, in combination, it would have been obvious to use the piston of Atkinson for first and second pumps as one of a finite number of pumping means taught with a reasonable expectation of success, and that the conduit 19, 23 and valve 174a, 176a devices for providing the fluid path of Atkinson in conjunction with the lines 247 and 249 of Rosenburgh that are common to all pumps would still be necessary for fluid transfer. In regard to the limitation that a portion of said sterile fluid oath from said inlet to a respective one of said pumps is common to a portion of said sterile fluid path from said respective one of said pumps to said outlet, with the use of the one-way valves of Atkinson, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art that this constitutes a mere rearrangement of parts (the conduits and inlets and outlets being the parts) that is no more than an engineering design choice, and therefore does not patentably distinguish over the art of record absent an unexpected result.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,767 Page 12

Art Unit: 3746

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PATRICK HAMO whose telephone number is (571)272-3492. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on 571-272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,767 Page 13

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746

/Patrick Hamo/ Patent Examiner, AU 3746